## APPENDIX B PEER OBSERVATION FORMS



## PEER EVALUATION OF FACULTY TEACHING

Faculty Member Observed:								
Title or Subject Presentation:								
Date Observed:	Lengtl	h of Obse	ervation:					
Evaluator:  Date Reviewed with Faculty Member:								
Scoring Grid:								
5 = Excellent 2 = Fair 4 = Above Average 1 = Poor 3 = Good NA = Not Applicable NO = Not Observed								
Item	5	4	3	2	1	NA	NO	
Content of teaching presentation reflected the stated learning objectives and the assigned topic								
the stated learning objectives and the assigned topic  2. Material was presented in a logical and								
the stated learning objectives and the assigned topic								
the stated learning objectives and the assigned topic  2. Material was presented in a logical and organized sequence  3. New or complex concepts were explained at								
the stated learning objectives and the assigned topic  2. Material was presented in a logical and organized sequence  3. New or complex concepts were explained at a level the students could understand  4. Faculty member emphasized important								
the stated learning objectives and the assigned topic  2. Material was presented in a logical and organized sequence  3. New or complex concepts were explained at a level the students could understand  4. Faculty member emphasized important points and summarized effectively  5. Faculty member was receptive and appropriately responsive to students'								

8. Faculty member made appropriate use of instructional aids (handouts, slides, PowerPoint, etc.				
Instructional aides were clear and understandable and complimented the presentation				
10. Faculty member stimulated students' interest in the subject matter				
11. Faculty member was able to attract and maintain the attention of most of the students				
12. OVERALL ASSESSMENT				
General Comments:  Strengths:				
Suggestions for Improving the Clinical Exp	erience:			

Evaluator:	Date
I acknowledge that I have received a copy of this form:	
	Date
Signature of Faculty Member	



## PEER EVALUATION OF PRECLINICAL LAB ECOUNTER

Faculty Member Observed:	
Title or Subject Presentation:	1
Date Observed:	Length of Observation:
Evaluator:	
Date Reviewed with Faculty Memb	er:
Scoring Grid:	
5 = Excellent	2 = Fair
4 = Above Average	1 = Poor
3 = Good	NA = Not Applicable
	NO = Not Observed

	Item	5	4	3	2	1	NA	NO
1.	Demonstration of knowledge of lab procedures and processes							
2.	Demonstration of stated learning objectives and the assigned topic							
3.	Incorporation of guidelines and evidence- based dentistry into clinical practice							
4.	Faculty member made appropriate use of instructional aids (handouts, slides, PowerPoint, etc.)							
5.	New or complex concepts were <i>explained</i> at a level the students could understand							
6.	New or complex concepts were demonstrated at a level the students could understand							
7.	Timeliness and efficiency of lab time							

8. E	fficient use of resources				
	aculty member was sensitive to the sudents prior level of knowledge				
10. E	nthusiasm for student learning and skill evelopment				
a <sub>l</sub> q	aculty member was receptive and ppropriately responsive to students' uestions.				
12. 0	VERALL ASSESSMENT				
	General Comments:  Strengths:				
	Suggestions for Improving the Clinical Expe	rience:			

Evaluator:	Date	
I acknowledge that I have received a copy of this form:		
Signature of Faculty Manch or	Date	ı
Signature of Faculty Member		



## **CLINICAL ECOUNTER**

Faculty Member Observed:	
Title or Subject of Presentation:	
Date Observed:	Length of Observation:
Evaluator:	
Date Reviewed with Faculty Memb	per:
Scoring Grid:	
5 = Excellent	2 = Fair
4 = Above Average	1 = Poor
3 = Good	NA = Not Applicable

ltem	5	4	3	2	1	NA	NO
Demonstration of patient centered model a all levels - history, assessment, plan	t						
2. Clarity of treatment plan developed with team							
3. Incorporation of guidelines and evidence- based dentistry into clinical practice							
4. Involvement of patients and learners in the treatment							
5. Timeliness and efficiency of clinic time							
6. Efficient use of resources							
7. Communication to the patient of treatment plan, treatment, available resources and follow-up							
8. Enthusiasm for patient care							

NO = Not Observed

9. Awareness/ review of practice specific				
quality indicators (examples: infection control, treatment outcome, patient safety)				
10. Professional characteristics when interacting				
with patients, learners, faculty and staff				
(maturity, respectfulness, encouragement,				
humanity, responsiveness to questions) 11. OVERALL ASSESSMENT				
11. OVERALL ASSESSIVIENT				
General Comments:  Strengths:				
Suggestions for Improving the Clinical Exper	rience:			

I acknowledge that I have received a copy of this form:		
Signature of Faculty Member	Date	